



Docket No.: 209326US2PCT

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231



RE: Application Serial No.: 09/869,322

Applicants: Tsuneyoshi TAJIMA, et al.

Filing Date: July 24, 2001

For: PERMANENT MAGNET TYPE MOTOR AND
METHOD OF PRODUCING PERMANENT MAGNET
TYPE MOTOR

Group Art Unit: 2834

Examiner: Karen ADDISON

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

Response

Our check in the amount of **0.00** is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Edwin D. Garlepp
Gregory J. Maier
Registration No. 25,599



22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone)
(703) 413-2220 (fax)

Edwin D. Garlepp
Registration No. 45,330

I:\USER\MAC1\AMENDMENTS\COVERLETTERS\209326.DOC

1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 U.S.A.
TELEPHONE: 703-413-3000 FACSIMILE: 703-413-2220 WWW.OBLON.COM

RECEIVED
APR 21 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800



Atty. Docket No. 209326US2PCT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

TSUNEYOSHI TAJIMA ET AL : EXAMINER: ADDISON, K.

SERIAL NO: 09/869,322 :

FILED: JULY 24, 2001 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2834

FOR: PERMANENT MAGNET TYPE
MOTOR AND METHOD OF
PRODUCING PERMANENT
MAGNET TYPE MOTOR

RESPONSE

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

The following remarks are responsive to the Official Action mailed February 13, 2003.

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested. Claims 1 and 4-9 are presently active in this case.

In the outstanding Official Action, Claims 1 and 4-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted prior art; and Claim 3 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted prior art in view of EP 0223612 to Denk.

First, Applicants wish to thank Examiner Addison and Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) Ramirez for the March 3, 2003 personal interview at which time the outstanding issues

RECEIVED
APR 21 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800